

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/621,408	Applicant(s) LOWRY, CHARLES ANDREW	
	Examiner Matthew O Savage	Art Unit 1723	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew O Savage.

(2) Mr. Charles A. Lowry.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none
Claims discussed:
new claim 11
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Lowry agreed not to have the amendments to the specification and drawings filed on 4-15-04 entered since the amendments to the specification did not comply with 37 CFR 1.121. Mr. Lowry agreed to corrections of various typographical errors in new claim 11 as well as the amendments to the specification and drawings specified in the previous office action. The examiner agreed to incorporate all of the amendments by examiner's amendment and to allow the application..